## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SCOTT SMITH, et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs, v.	) ) ) Case	No. 1:21-cv-10654
CHELMSFORD GROUP, LLC, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	) ) )	

#### SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ETHAN R. HOROWITZ

- I, Ethan R. Horowitz, state that the following facts are true and accurate, based on my personal knowledge, and that I am competent to testify to the truth and accuracy of the same:
- 1. I have been a member in good standing of the Bar of the Commonwealth since 2009, membership which I obtained following my studies at Harvard Law School where I earned my *juris doctor* degree in 2008 and following a federal judicial clerkship with the Hon. Roslyn O. Silver of the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona.
- 2. At present, I am Managing Director of the Northeast Justice Center, a civil legal aid organization, and have held that position since 2015, prior to which time I worked in other public interest or private practice settings.
- 3. The Northeast Justice Center is a civil legal aid organization and thus I do not charge an hourly rate to clients but rather collect fees, to the extent they are collected at all, directly from opposing parties or through a 25% contingency fee arrangement with clients.
- 4. The most recent hourly rate for which I have received judicial approval is \$340 per hour, a rate which was approved by the Middlesex Superior Court in the *Layes*, *et al.* v. *RHP Properties*, *Inc.*, *et al.* manufactured housing community class-action litigation, *see* 15-CV-2722

(Middlesex Super. Ct.) at Doc. Nos. 70-71, as well as by the U.S. District Court in *Craw, et al. v. Hometown America, LLC, et al. See* 18-CV-12149-LTS (D. Mass.) at Doc. Nos. 198-99, 216-17.

- 5. As Managing Director of the Northeast Justice Center, I have supervised Attorney Brian J. O'Donnell in the above-referenced action, as well as the related matter docketed at 21-CV-10522-DJC, since each was initiated and have served as lead counsel in the above-referenced action since March of 2022.
- 6. Shortly after oral argument on Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, the parties agreed to mediate the above-captioned litigation and ultimately decided to conduct a mediation before the Honorable Mitchell H. Kaplan (Ret.), an experienced mediator whose publicly available *curriculum vitae* is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 7. The parties attended three mediation sessions before Judge Kaplan on July 25, July 29, and August 5, 2022 negotiations in which Defendants confidentially disclosed to Plaintiff, through counsel, informal discovery material and which, after approximately 1 month of additional post-mediation negotiations, ultimately resulted in the proposed resolution of the above-captioned litigation that is encompassed in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release, Doc. No. 96-2.
- 8. During the mediation, I reviewed the informal discovery disclosed by Defendants, which was a then-current rent roll for the Chelmsford Commons Manufactured Housing Community ("Rent Roll").
- 9. Based on my examination of the Rent Roll, I determined that approximately 30 Chelmsford Commons households had been paying the lowest monthly base rent rounded down to the nearest dollar in the community ("Lowest Rent Households").

- 10. Assuming annual base rent increases of 4.5% which commence in April of 2023, I determined that the base rent of the Lowest Rent Households if they were to remain in Chelmsford Commons would not exceed \$964.37 until April of 2033.
- 11. Assuming annual base rent increases of 5% which commence in April of 2023, I determined that the base rent of the Lowest Rent Households if they were to remain in Chelmsford Commons would not exceed \$964.37 until April of 2032.
- 12. Assuming annual base rent increases of 6% which commence in April of 2023, I determined that the base rent of the Lowest Rent Households if they were to remain in Chelmsford Commons would not exceed \$964.37 until April of 2030.
- 13. Based on my examination of the Rent Roll, I also determined that the highest monthly base rent assessed to a Chelmsford Commons household had been \$964.37.
- 14. Assuming that such a household were to avoid annual base rent increases of 4.5% between April of 2023 and April of 2033, I calculated that each such household would save approximately \$33,000 in rent payments.
- 15. Assuming that such a household were to avoid annual base rent increases of 4.5% for seven years during the Settlement Period, as defined by the Settlement, I calculated that each such household would save approximately \$16,000 in rent payments.
- 16. Assuming that such a household were to avoid annual base rent increases of 4.5% for five years during the Settlement Period, as defined by the Settlement, I calculated that each such household would save approximately \$8,000 in rent payments.
- 17. Assuming that such a household were to avoid annual base rent increases of 6% for the period between April of 2023 and April of 2030, I calculated that each such household would save approximately \$22,000 in rent payments.

3

- 18. Assuming that such a household were to receive reimbursement for the amount of base rent paid in excess of the base rent one of the Lowest Rent Households was paying for the period between April of 2021 and April of 2023, I calculated that each such household would be reimbursed approximately \$8,500.
- 19. During both my time in private practice and my tenure as the Justice Center's Managing Director, I have represented clients in class-action litigation, including five other manufactured housing class actions which I successfully prosecuted or am prosecuting on behalf of the plaintiff class:
  - a. Reid, et al. v. Neighborhood Assistance Corp. of Am., as defense counsel, 2011-CH-37979 (Circuit Court of Cook County) 16-0296 (App. Ct. of Ill. 1st Dist.)
  - b. *Lannan, et al. v. Levy & White, et al.*, as class counsel, 14-CV-13866-IT (D. Mass.)
  - c. Layes, et al. v. RHP Properties, Inc., et al., as class counsel, 15-CV-2722 (Middlesex Super. Ct.) 18-P-218 (Mass. App. Ct.) 20-CV-10721-RWZ (D. Mass.)
  - d. *Currie, et al. v. RHP Properties, Inc., et al.*, as class counsel, 17-CV-1055 (Middlesex Super. Ct.)
  - e. *Baldwin, et al. v. RHP Properties, Inc., et al.*, as class counsel, 18-CV-849 (Middlesex Super. Ct.)
  - f. *Craw, et al. v. Hometown America, LLC, et al.*, as class counsel, 18-CV-12149-LTS (D. Mass.)
  - g. *Bartok, et al. v. Hometown America, LLC, et al.*, as putative class counsel, 21-CV-10790-LTS (D. Mass.)
- 20. Based on my experience and judgment, I can discern no conflict between Plaintiff Scott Smith and the members of the classes that he presently represents.

- 21. Based on my experience and judgment, I believe that the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release is a resolution that is fair, reasonable and adequate to the class members who would be bound by the Settlement.
- 22. Following the Settlement Administrator's implementation of the notice plan outlined in the Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release as well as adopted by the Court's September 23, 2022 Preliminary Approval Order, Doc. No. 99, Mr. Smith assisted me with organizing and publicizing a public meeting for Chelmsford Commons tenants or residents, which was held at the Chelmsford Public Library on November 15, 2022.
- 23. Both Mr. Smith and I attended the November 15, 2022 meeting, during which I made a presentation concerning the Settlement Agreement and answered questions from the approximately 40 attendees about both the Settlement as well as the above-captioned litigation in general.
- 24. During the course of this litigation, I maintained time records in the normal course of my duties as the Managing Director of the Justice Center, records which I kept in good faith and which I created both routinely as well as contemporaneously with the conduct described in each record or within a reasonable time thereafter ("Time Records").
- 25. Upon review of the Time Records corresponding to the above-captioned matter, I have determined that I spent no fewer than **59 hours** on tasks involving pre-settlement motion practice related to Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, Plaintiff's Motion to Unseal and various procedural motions concerning the proper sequencing of those Motions tasks which generally included researching legal issues; drafting, evidencing and filing briefs; and participating in oral argument (as applicable). Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is an excerpted copy of said Time Records as they pertain to these tasks.

Case 1:21-cv-10654-DJC Document 103-2 Filed 01/17/23 Page 6 of 21

26. Upon review of the Time Records corresponding to the above-captioned matter, I

have determined that I spent no fewer than 110.75 hours on tasks involving settlement of the

above-captioned litigation - tasks which generally included participating in multiple rounds of

mediation with Defendants; drafting and negotiating proposed settlement documents and court

filings; coordinating execution of the proposed settlement with the settlement administrator; and

communicating the details of the proposed settlement to class members. Attached hereto as

**Exhibit** C is an excerpted copy of said Time Records as they pertain to these tasks.

I affirm that the foregoing is true and accurate, to the best of my personal knowledge, and do so

under the pains and penalties of perjury. Executed this 17th day of January, 2023, by:

/s/ Ethan R. Horowitz

\_\_\_\_\_

Ethan R. Horowitz

6

# **EXHIBIT A**





# Hon. Mitchell H. Kaplan (Ret.)

JAMS Mediator, Arbitrator and Referee/Special Master

#### Case Manager

Angus Blake

T: 617-228-9138

F: 617-228-0222

One Beacon Street, Suite 2210, Boston, MA 02108

ABlake@jamsadr.com

## Biography

The **Hon. Mitchell H. Kaplan (Ret.)** was appointed to the Massachusetts Superior Court in 2009 and presided in the Business Litigation Section for eight years. Judge Kaplan is known for being intelligent, hardworking, thoughtful and fair, as well as for having the tenacity and skill to parse complex factual records and effectively manage multi-party matters.

#### Testimonials from counsel:

- "[Judge Kaplan] maintained insight into practical litigation risks and never forgot what it was like for a company whose business objectives drove litigation strategy."
- "Judge Kaplan has been telling parties—and their counsel—what they need to hear, not what they want to hear, for many years at the BLS."

During his time on the bench, Judge Kaplan presided over a diverse range of business disputes; consumer, securities and wage act class actions; insurance coverage matters; trade secret litigation; and construction cases.

Prior to his appointment, Judge Kaplan practiced at the firm of Choate, Hall & Stewart for 31 years, where he chaired the securities litigation group and handled business, commercial and insurance matters.

## **ADR Experience and Qualifications**

- While in private practice, served as an arbitrator on a three-person panel addressing ownership
  of intellectual property
- Represented parties in a numerous mediations before neutrals, including those involving securities litigation, leveraged buyouts, real estate development and contract disputes
- While a judge, mediated several commercial matters at the parties' request, with the understanding that if the mediation failed, he would no longer preside over the matter

### **Representative Matters**

#### **Business Commercial**

- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - Complex business commercial contract disputes
  - Fraud and False Claims Act claims
  - Whistleblower claims
  - Breach of fiduciary duty
  - Disclosure obligations
  - Trade secret litigation
  - Taxation and internet sales
  - Corporate mergers
  - Statutes of limitations
- Served as a special assistant attorney general representing the Massachusetts state treasurer in claims against an investment banking firm
- Represented accounting firms and technology companies in securities class actions cases in courts and in SEC investigations

- Represented accounting firms in malpractice litigation
- Tried cases in state and federal courts and before administrative agencies, the Commodities
  Futures Trading Commission and the U.S. Tax Court

#### **Class Actions**

- Represented accounting firms and technology companies in securities class actions
- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - Wage act class actions
  - Consumer protection and other Chapter 93A claims
  - Internet providers
  - A variety of matters involving novel issues concerning the criteria for class certification

#### Construction

- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - Large public construction projects
  - Condominium developments
  - Damages for delay
  - Disputes regarding design and engineering firms
  - Bridge Design

#### **Employment**

- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - Wage disputes, including overtime, weekend pay and other statutory obligations
  - Discrimination
  - Noncompete agreements

#### Insurance

- Represented firms and individuals in disputes involving directors and officers' insurance coverage
- Served as general counsel to newly organized workers' compensation carrier
- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - Insurance coverage, between carriers and between insureds and their carrier

- Commercial property insurance
- Insurers' claims to recoupment and offset
- The duty to defend

#### **Real Property**

- Tried cases involving disputes between the owners of the largest office tower in Boston and a municipality and contractor involving a public construction project
- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - o Option to purchase restaurant
  - Landlord-tenant disputes
  - Commercial and condominium development projects
  - Retroactive assessment of condominium fees
  - Zoning disputes
  - Deed reformation requests
  - Municipal leases

#### **Securities**

- Represented companies, individuals and accounting firms in a variety of securities-related matters, including class litigation, derivative claims, insurance coverage disputes and internal and government investigations
- Presided over cases involving the following:
  - Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and the collapse of the RMBS market
  - Securities registration and warrant agreements
  - Derivative claims
  - Audits and professional malpractice
  - FINRA

## Honors, Memberships, and Professional Activities

Completed Virtual ADR training conducted by the JAMS Institute, the training arm of JAMS.

### **Memberships and Affiliations**

- Council Member, Boston Bar Association
  - Chair, Section of Delivery of Legal Service
  - Chair, Section on Administration of Justice
  - Chair, Amicus Committee

- Member, Massachusetts Board of Bar Overseers
  - Vice Chair, 2001–2002
- Member, Standing Committee, Supreme Judicial Court on the Rules of Professional Conduct
- Member, Joint Bar Committee on Judicial Appointments
  - o Chair, 1996–1997
- Board Member, Greater Boston Legal Services
  - Treasurer, 2006–2009
  - Dow-Gardiner-Landrum Award (for legal service to the poor), 2009
- Board Member, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights
- Board Member, American Civil Liberties Union of Massachusetts
- Board Member and Executive Committee Member, Associated Industries of Massachusetts
- Member, Board of Editors, Boston Bar Journal

#### **Selected Awards and Honors**

Listed in Best Lawyers in America and Massachusetts Super Lawyers for multiple years

## Background and Education

- Judge, Massachusetts Superior Court, 2009–2020
  - Business Litigation Session, 2012–2019
- Attorney; Choate, Hall & Stewart; 1979–2009
  - Partner, 1984–1989
- Associate, Hutchins & Wheeler, 1976–1977
- Law Clerk to Hon. Joseph L. Tauro, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 1978– 1979
- J.D., cum laude, Cornell University, 1976
- B.A., cum laude, Mathematics and Philosophy, Colby College, 1972
  - Phi Beta Kappa

## **Counsel Comments**

- "On the bench, Judge Kaplan never forgot what it was like to represent a company whose business objectives drove litigation strategy. That understanding, and his insights into the practical litigation risks, make him an outstanding addition to the JAMs team."
  - IP Litigation Partner
- "Mitch was an outstanding lawyer and a terrific judge. We will place his name at the top of our mediation- arbitration list. Congrats on a great signing."

- National Trial Attorney
- "I have been before Judge Kaplan many times, including an extended bench trial which
  presented novel legal issues and complex engineering testimony. Judge Kaplan was
  one of the most intelligent, dedicated, hardworking, and effective Superior Court
  Judges I encountered in my 35+ years of practice. He is thoughtful, fair, and
  understands the critical issues necessary to resolve cases, both on and off the bench."
  - Construction Practice Partner
- "The Bench's loss is a huge gain for sophisticated litigants truly interested in mature, thoughtful and creative analysis of their cases. Judge Kaplan has been telling parties (and their counsel) what they need to hear, not what they want to hear, for many years at the BLS. It will be a great resource to the legal community that he will continue to do so at JAMS."
  - Professional Liability Litigator
- "Judge Kaplan is an exciting addition to the panel of neutrals serving with JAMS' Boston office. Judge Kaplan's experience in private practice and long-time assignment to the Business Litigation Session in Suffolk Superior Court make him particularly qualified to assist parties in assessing and resolving complex business disputes and civil litigation. Judge Kaplan is well-versed in the law governing contract, fraud, and breach of fiduciary duty claims and is especially well-known for having the tenacity and skill to parse through complex factual records and effectively manage multi-party matters."
  - Litigation Department Chair
- "Judge Kaplan has the perfect blend of experience, intellect, demeanor, and determination to effectively facilitate the resolution of complex civil matters. On many occasions over the years, I have witnessed first-hand Judge Kaplan's mastery of the subject matter on a wide range of complex business, employment, and restrictive covenant disputes. Judge Kaplan has a well-deserved reputation as a quick study, which is one the first things I look for in an arbitrator or mediator. For these reasons and others, I am looking forward to working with Judge Kaplan as a JAMS neutral."
  - Employment Partner
- "Judge Kaplan is an outstanding and thoughtful jurist, who, while on the bench, always took
  an interest in the cases and lawyers before him, and employed a practical, friendly, and
  helpful approach to managing cases. He was always courteously transparent about
  his assessment of cases, which served to help us, as the lawyers, evaluate the

Case 1:21-cv-10654-DJC Document 103-2 Filed 01/17/23 Page 14 of 21

strength, weaknesses, and direction of our cases. He also routinely gave of his time to help educate the bar. His rare combination of knowledge, acumen, demeanor, and dedication set him apart as a judge and will make him an outstanding neutral. I am looking forward to working with him."

- Nationally Recognized Litigator

### News

• September 23, 2020

Hon. Mitchell H. Kaplan (Ret.) Joins JAMS in Boston

https://www.jamsadr.com//news/2020/hon-mitchell-h-kaplan-ret-joins-jams-in-boston

## **Publications**

March 2021

Q&A with Hon. Mitchell H. Kaplan (Ret.)

JAMS Spotlight

https://www.jamsadr.com//files/uploads/documents/articles/kaplan-jamsspotlight-2021-03.pdf

## **Practice Areas**

- Business & Commercial
- Construction
- Employment Law
- Insurance
- Real Estate & Real Property
- Securities

### Locations

· Boston, Massachusetts

Available nationwide >

#### **Disclaimer**

This page is for general information purposes. JAMS makes no representations or warranties regarding its accuracy or completeness. Interested persons should conduct their own research regarding information on this website before deciding to use JAMS, including investigation and

Case 1:21-cv-10654-DJC Document 103-2 Filed 01/17/23 Page 15 of 21

research of JAMS neutrals. See More

# **EXHIBIT B**

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
	begin drafting class cert motion	1.00
2/8/22	begin drafting class cert motion and mol	2.25
2/9/22	continue drafting/revising class cert moving papers	3.75
2/10/22	finish first draft of cert moving papers	1.25
2/28/22	revisew and revise declarations (1); revise motion and memo (.25)	1.25
3/10/22	cite check/revise cert moving papers	4.00
3/11/22	final review/revisions to moving papers (1.75); file same (.5)	2.25
3/15/22	review draft motion to unseal and MOL	0.25
3/16/22	review motion to unseal papers (.25); review R. 12(c) papers (.5)	0.75
3/17/22	revise motion to unseal	0.50
3/21/22	review R.16 Filings by Def. (.5); outline opp. (.25); draft opp. (2)	2.75
3/22/22	final review of opp mem (.25); file same (.25)	0.50
3/24/22	review 12(c) opp draft (.5); outline needed research re 12(c) opp (.5); review Defs latest filing (.25); review caselaw re LR 7.1 (.5); dtaft opp mem	3.25
3/25/22	(1); revise mem (.25); file mem (.25); review Blake and related authorities for 12(c) opp (1); begin drafting 12(c) opp (3.5)	4.50
3/28/22	cobtinue drafting 12.c opp (4); review 12.c std and 93A injury cases (1)	5.00
3/29/22	finish first draft of 12.c opp	3.00
	revise 12(c) brief	2.50
	review 93A caselaw re injury (.5); revise brief (.25); cite check brief (2); final review of brief and support materials (.5); file same (.25)	3.50
4/4/22	review Def opp re mot to unseal (.25); review sealing caselaw (1.75)	2.00
4/5/22	review caselaw re motions to unseal and law-of-the-case doctrine (1); draft reply br in supp of motion to unseal (2.5)	3.50
4/6/22	revise reply br	0.25
	revise motion to unseal reply (.75); file same (.25)	1.00
5/16/22	review pleadings and 12.c briefs to prep for motion hrg	1.50
5/17/22	outline oral arg	4.00
	oral arg prep	2.50
	oral arg prep (.75); arg 12.c mot (.75); debrief with BJO re same (.5)	2.00

TOTAL 59.00

# **EXHIBIT C**

<b>DATE</b> 5/19/22	<b>DESCRIPTION</b> review juris re SMJ	<b>HOURS</b> 2.50
	review SMJ juris re point-of-view in calculating AIC	2.00
5/23/22	settlement common to opposing counsel	0.25
	def counsel commons re mediation commons with HTA counsel re mediation	0.25 0.25
	mediation emails with RHP counsel review and respond to mediation correspondence to RHP counsel	0.25 0.50
6/22/22	email commons with RHP counsel re mediation (.25); phone call with RHP counsel re same (.25); client phone call (.25)	0.75
	commons with JAMS re mediation begin drafting mediation stmt (3.5); intro mediator mtg (.5)	0.25 4.00
7/12/22	revise mediation brief	0.50
7/13/22	revise mediation brief	0.75
7/15/22	finalize and circulate mediation brief	1.00
7/21/22	mediation phone call with Judge Kaplan (1); prep (.25); debrief with BO (.25)	1.50
7/22/22	revise mediation initial proposal doc	2.00
7/24/22	mediation prep	0.50
7/25/22	attend client mediation	8.00
	settlement discussion with BO	0.50
7/27/22	discussion with BO re mediation plan (.25); emails with RHP counsel re stip	0.50
7/28/22	protective order (.25) mediation prep	1.25
	attend mediation (3); debrief with BJO re	3.75
1129122	same (.25); draft and circulate proposed joint status report (.25); review proposed revisions and file same (.25)	3.73
8/1/22	mediator email commons	0.25
	attend mediation (3); review/respond to RHP mediation corr. (.25)	3.25
8/11/22	settlement procedure commons with HTA counsel	0.25
8/19/22	settlement agmt proc corr with RHP counsel	0.25
8/21/22	settlement agmt proc corr with RHP counsel	0.25
	review proposed settlement agmt	1.25
	revise proposed settlement agmt	2.00
8/24/22	final review and circulate revised settlement agmt	1.25
8/29/22	prep for settlement call (.25); settlement call with RHP counsel (.5); begin drafting PAO motion and memorandum (3)	3.75

<b>DATE</b> 8/30/22	<b>DESCRIPTION</b> review next RHP's next draft of settlement agmt (1.5); revise operative settlement agreement draft (1.25);	<b>HOURS</b> 4.50
	circulate revised draft to RHP counsel with cmts (.25); revise PAO motion and continue drafting PAO memorandum (1.5)	
9/1/22	review proposed revisions to settlement agmt (.25); revise settlement and respond to RHP counsel (.25)	0.50
9/6/22	review final settlement agmt (1); continue drafting PAO memo (4); commcns withe potential settlement admin (.25)	5.25
9/7/22	client meeting (.75); review FRCP 23(c) juris (.75); revise pao motion/memo and draft declarations (3.75)	5.25
9/8/22	revise PAO motion, memorandum and declarations (1.5); commcns with proposed settlement admin (.25); draft preliminary approval order (1.5)	3.25
9/9/22	review/revise declarations (1); draft mail notice (1.5); review/revise mail notice, preliminary approval order and other PAO papers (2)	4.50
9/12/22	review/revise motion and memo	3.00
9/13/22	revise and circulate drafts of PAO	1.00
9/14/22	motion and memo to RHP counsel reviise proposed PAO (.75); revise long-form notice (.5); final review of admin quote (.25); commons with RHP counsel (.25)	1.75
9/16/22	review proposed RHP revisions and revise PAO mot., memo., ex. 1 (proposed PAO order) and Ex. 3 (proposed long-form notice) (1.25); settlement admin commcns (.25); draft publication notice (.75); final review of motion, memo, Proposed PAO Order, long form notice and publication notice (2.75)	5.00
9/19/22	commons with settlemenr admin (.25); final review/revisions of all PAO motion papers and exhibits (2.75); file same (.5)	3.50
	commons with client review revised CAFA ltr and common with RHP counsel re same	0.25 0.25
9/28/22	review PAO and communicate with def counsel and admin re same	0.25
9/29/22	commons with def counsel and admin	0.25

<b>DATE</b> 10/6/22	<b>DESCRIPTION</b> review revised mail notice draft from	<b>HOURS</b> 1.25
	admin (.5); review revised pub notice draft from admin (.25); review class list (.5)	
10/7/22	review website and circulate proposed revisions to RHP counsel (1.25); review admin settlement sched (.25)	1.75
10/10/22	client commons (.25); settlement admin/def counsel commons (.25)	0.50
10/12/22	final website review (.25); client commons re website dissemination (.25)	0.50
10/19/22	final review of mail notice	0.25
10/21/22	review RHP counsel and admin commcns	0.25
	class member phone call	0.25
	prepare for community mtg re settlement presentation	1.00
11/15/22	prepare to give settlement presentation to class members (.75); give presentation (1); post-presentation	2.00
	discussion with class membes (.25)	
12/2/22	organize ERH and BJO time records for fee petition	2.00
12/6/22	begin drafting final approval motion and memo	2.75
12/7/22	commcns with settlement admin and RHP counsel (.25); revise final approval motion and memo (1.25); begin drafting proposed final order (.5)	2.00
12/8/22	commons with RHP counsel and settlement admin (.25); finish drafting proposed final order (1.25); revise final approval papers (.25); draft fee petition papers (2.75)	4.50
12/9/22	phone call with HTA counsel re opt-out (.25); revise final approval papers (1)	1.25
12/20/22	review final approval hrg motions with BJO	0.25
1/3/23	revise fee papers	0.25
1/10/23	revise final approval papers (1.75); emails to RHP counsel and settlement admin re same (.25)	2.00
1/12/23	revise atty fee papers (2.25); compile exhibits (1); review and file out opt-out list (.25)	3.50
	revie caselaw for final approvla mot	0.75
	review defense counsel edits to final approval papers and supporting decls	0.50
1/17/23	final revisions to and file fee papers	1.00
	TOTAL	110.75